

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/954,763	09/17/2001		Paul J. Thompson	11576.51USI1	8878
21127	7590	07/13/2006		EXAMINER	
KUDIRKA	•	WEBB, S.	WEBB, SARAH K		
ONE STATE SUITE 800	E STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, N	ИА 02109			3731	
				DATE MAILED: 07/13/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summer.	09/954,763		THOMPSON ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Sarah K. Webb	3731					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	ress				
WHIC - Exter after: - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING sicions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the main and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTE ute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. oly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this com NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	June 2006.						
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
, —								
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) <u>1-8,10,11,13,14,17-19,23,25,26 and 28-36</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10,11,13,14,17-19,23,25,26 and 28-36</u> is/are rejected.							
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been r	oplication No	Stage				
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a l	,	eceived.					
Attachmen	t(s)	<u> </u>						
2) Notice 3) Inform	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO- 	-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claims 1-8,10,11,13,14,17-19, 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Line 24 of claim ends in a period, but the claim includes added language (at the top of page 2 of claim 1) that renders the claim indefinite. Since "discharge openings" are recited in lines 20-24, the "fluid exchange apertures" recited in the following lines are redundant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Claims 1-8,10,11,13,14,17-19, 23, 25,26, 28,29, and 31-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,786,918 to Krivoruchko et al. (918) in view of US Patent No. 6,168,617 to Blaeser et al.

'918 discloses a catheter that includes an outer shaft (26), inner shaft (24), fluid channel (68A-H), admission port (shown in Figures 3 and 15), stent (28) mounted on the distal region, and a spacer (62) comprising a plurality of "splines" disposed in the fluid channel. The spacer (62) can best be seen in cross-section in Figure 5 and is substantially similar to the spacer shown in Figure 5 of the application. Figure 2 illustrates that the spacer (62) extends a majority of the length of the catheter shafts (24,26). The admission port that extends through the sidewall of the handle in

Art Unit: 3731

Figures 3 and 15 (column 6, lines 12-20) is similar to admission port (42) at the proximal end of the shaft disclosed in applicant's specification. The port is in communication with the fluid channel, as Krivoruchko explains that saline may be delivered to the lumen (column 6, lines 12-13), so the structure of the admission port disclosed by Krivoruchko is considered to meet the claim requirements. 918 discloses that the inner and outer shafts are slidable relative to one another (column 3, lines 35-37). As shown in Figure 2, a guide wire (82) may be disposed in the lumen of the inner shaft (24) (column 6, lines 13-14). The stent (28) is self-expanding and deployed by retraction of the outer shaft (26).

Krivoruchko fails to include discharge openings in the wall of the outer shaft near the proximal and distal ends of the stent mounting location. Blaeser discloses a catheter with a stent (48) mounted on a distal region of a shaft (14) and a retractable sheath (28). Figure 4 shows that apertures (52) may be formed in the outer sheath (column 6, lines 9-10), and a port (60) is in communication with a passageway in the catheter and the apertures of the outer sheath (column 5, lines 30-32 and 50-54). Some of the apertures may be located proximal or distal to the "stent mounting location", since the sheath (28) extends past both ends of the stent (48). Blaeser teaches that the apertures can enhance flexibility (column 6, lines 9-10). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include apertures proximal and distal the stent mounting region of the '918 device, as Blaeser teaches that an array of apertures in a retractable sheath of a stent delivery device can enhance flexibility.

Application/Control Number: 09/954,763 Page 4

Art Unit: 3731

Regarding claims 17 and 18, the spacer is considered to have a surface that is capable of being thermally bonded to another surface. No other structural characteristics are required by these claims.

3. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krivoruchko ('918) in view of Blaeser, and further in view of US Patent No. 5,005,584 to Little.

The modified '918 device includes all the limitations of claim 30, except for a pressure measuring device. Little discloses a guide wire that measures fluid pressure and is capable of being used with the modified '918 device. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the Little guide wire for the guide wire of the modified '918 device, as this produces a combination that is capable of measuring fluid pressure within a passageway. This combination would provide the operator with the capability of detecting defects in the body passageway.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah K. Webb whose telephone number is (571) 272-4706. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4:30.

Application/Control Number: 09/954,763

Art Unit: 3731

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SKW 7/7/06 Juhan M. Moo

JULIAN W.WOO